

EV205822896

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

**Timestamp-Independent Motion Vector Prediction for
Predictive (P) and Bidirectionally Predictive (B)
Pictures**

Inventor(s):

**Alexandros Tourapis
Shipeng Li
Feng Wu
Gary Sullivan**

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. MS1-1252US

1 **RELATED PATENT APPLICATIONS**

2 This U.S. Non-provisional Application for Letters Patent is a continuation-
3 in-part of co-pending U.S. Application for Letters Patent Serial No. 10/444,511,
4 filed May 23, 2003, and titled "Spatiotemporal Prediction for Bidirectionally
5 Predictive (B) Pictures and Motion Vector Prediction For Multi-Picture Reference
6 Motion Compensation", which is incorporated by reference in its entirety herein.

7 This U.S. Non-provisional Application for Letters Patent claims the benefit
8 of priority from, and hereby incorporates by reference the entire disclosure of, co-
9 pending U.S. Provisional Application for Letters Patent Serial No. 60/397,187,
10 filed July 19, 2002, and titled "Timestamp Independent Motion Vector Prediction
11 for P and B frames with Division Elimination".

12 This U.S. Non-provisional Application for Letters Patent is also related to
13 co-pending U.S. Application for Letters Patent Serial No. 10/186,284, filed June
14 27, 2002, and titled "Improved Video Coding Methods And Apparatuses", which
15 is incorporated by reference in its entirety herein.

16 **TECHNICAL FIELD**

17 This invention relates to video coding, and more particularly to methods
18 and apparatuses for providing improved encoding/decoding and/or prediction
19 techniques associated with different types of video data.

20 **BACKGROUND**

21 There is a continuing need for improved methods and apparatuses for
22 compressing/encoding data and decompressing/decoding data, and in particular
23 image and video data. Improvements in coding efficiency allow for more
24 storage space and faster transmission times.
25

1 information to be processed, transmitted and/or stored more easily by computers
2 and other like devices. With the increasing popularity of the Internet and other
3 like computer networks, and wireless communication systems, there is a desire to
4 provide highly efficient coding techniques to make full use of available resources.

5 Rate Distortion Optimization (RDO) techniques are quite popular in video
6 and image encoding/decoding systems since they can considerably improve
7 encoding efficiency compared to more conventional encoding methods.

8 The motivation for increased coding efficiency in video coding continues
9 and has recently led to the adoption by a standard body known as the Joint Video
10 Team (JVT), for example, of more refined and complicated models and modes
11 describing motion information for a given macroblock into the draft international
12 standard known as H.264/AVC. Here, for example, it has been shown that Direct
13 Mode, which is a mode for prediction of a region of a picture for which motion
14 parameters for use in the prediction process are predicted in some defined way
15 based in part on the values of data encoded for the representation of one or more
16 of the pictures used as references, can considerably improve coding efficiency of
17 B pictures within the draft H.264/AVC standard, by exploiting the statistical
18 dependence that may exist between pictures.

19 In the draft H.264/AVC standard as it existed prior to July of 2002,
20 however, the only statistical dependence of motion vector values that was
21 exploited was temporal dependence which, unfortunately, implies that timestamp
22 information for each picture must be available for use in both the encoding and
23 decoding logic for optimal effectiveness. Furthermore, the performance of this
24 mode tends to deteriorate as the temporal distance between video pictures
25 increases, since temporal statistical dependence across pictures also decreases.

1 Problems become even greater when multiple picture referencing is enabled, as is
2 the case of H.264/AVC codecs.

3 Consequently, there is continuing need for further improved methods and
4 apparatuses that can support the latest models and modes and also possibly
5 introduce new models and modes to take advantage of improved coding
6 techniques.

7

8 **SUMMARY**

9 Improved methods and apparatuses are provided that can support the latest
10 models and modes and also new models and modes to take advantage of improved
11 coding techniques.

12 The above stated needs and others are met, for example, by a method for
13 use in encoding video data. The method includes establishing a first reference
14 picture and a second reference picture for each portion of a current video picture to
15 be encoded within a sequence of video pictures, if possible, and dividing each
16 current video pictures into at least one portion to be encoded or decoded. The
17 method then includes selectively assigning at least one motion vector predictor
18 (MVP) to a current portion of the current video picture (e.g., in which the current
19 picture is a coded frame or field). Here, a portion may include, for example, an
20 entire frame or field, or a slice, a macroblock, a block, a subblock, a sub-partition,
21 or the like within the coded frame or field. The MVP may, for example, be used
22 without alteration for the formation of a prediction for the samples in the current
23 portion of the current video frame or field. In an alternative embodiment, the
24 MVP may be used as a prediction to which is added an encoded motion vector

1 difference to form the prediction for the samples in the current portion of the
2 current video frame or field.

3 For example, the method may include selectively assigning one or more
4 motion parameter to the current portion. Here, the motion parameter is associated
5 with at least one portion of the second reference frame or field and based on at
6 least a spatial prediction technique that uses a corresponding portion and at least
7 one collocated portion of the second reference frame or field. In certain instances,
8 the collocated portion is intra coded or is coded based on a different reference
9 frame or field than the corresponding current portion. The MVP can be based on
10 at least one motion parameter of at least one portion adjacent to the current portion
11 within the current video frame or field, or based on at least one direction selected
12 from a forward temporal direction and a backward temporal direction associated
13 with at least one of the portions in the first and/or second reference frames or
14 fields. In certain implementations, the motion parameter includes a motion vector
15 that is set to zero when the collocated portion is substantially temporally stationary
16 as determined from the motion parameter(s) of the collocated portion.

17 The method may also include encoding the current portion using a Direct
18 Mode scheme resulting in a Direct Mode encoded current portion, encoding the
19 current portion using a Skip Mode scheme resulting in a Skip Mode encoded
20 current portion, and then selecting between the Direct Mode encoded current
21 frame and the Skip Mode encoded current frame. Similarly, the method may
22 include encoding the current portion using a Copy Mode scheme based on a
23 spatial prediction technique to produce a Copy Mode encoded current portion,
24 encoding the current portion using a Direct Mode scheme based on a temporal
25 prediction technique to produce a Direct Mode encoded current portion, and then

1 selecting between the Copy Mode encoded current portion and the Direct Mode
2 encoded current portion. In certain implementations, the decision process may
3 include the use of a Rate Distortion Optimization (RDO) technique or the like,
4 and/or user inputs.

5 The MVP can be based on a linear prediction, such as, e.g., an averaging
6 prediction. In some implementations the MV is based on non-linear prediction
7 such as, e.g., a median prediction, etc. The current picture may be encoded as a B
8 picture (a picture in which some regions are predicted from an average of two
9 motion-compensated predictors) or a P picture (a picture in which each region has
10 at most one motion-compensated prediction), for example and a syntax associated
11 with the current picture configured to identify that the current frame was encoded
12 using the MVP.

13 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

14 The present invention is illustrated by way of example and not limitation in
15 the figures of the accompanying drawings. The same numbers are used
16 throughout the figures to reference like components and/or features.

17 Fig. 1 is a block diagram depicting an exemplary computing environment
18 that is suitable for use with certain implementations of the present invention.

19 Fig. 2 is a block diagram depicting an exemplary representative device that
20 is suitable for use with certain implementations of the present invention.

21 Fig. 3 is an illustrative diagram depicting Direct Prediction in B picture
22 coding, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
23 invention.

1 Fig. 4 is an illustrative diagram depicting handling of collocated Intra
2 within existing codecs wherein motion is assumed to be zero, in accordance with
3 certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

4 Fig. 5 is an illustrative diagram demonstrating that Direct Mode parameters
5 need to be determined when the reference picture index of the collocated block in
6 the backward reference P picture is other than zero, in accordance with certain
7 exemplary implementations of the present invention.

8 Fig. 6 is an illustrative diagram showing a scene change and/or the situation
9 wherein the collocated block is intra-coded, in accordance with certain exemplary
10 implementations of the present invention.

11 Fig. 7 is an illustrative diagram depicting a scheme wherein MV_{FW} and
12 MV_{BW} are derived from spatial prediction (e.g., Median MV of surrounding
13 Macroblocks) and wherein if either one is not available (e.g., no predictors) then
14 one-direction may be used, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations
15 of the present invention.

16 Fig. 8 is an illustrative diagram depicting how spatial prediction may be
17 employed to solve the problem of scene changes and/or that Direct Mode need not
18 be restricted to being Bidirectional, in accordance with certain exemplary
19 implementations of the present invention.

20 Fig. 9 is an illustrative diagram depicting Timestamp Independent
21 SpatioTemporal Prediction for Direct Mode, in accordance with certain exemplary
22 implementations of the present invention.

23 Figs. 10a-b are illustrative diagrams showing how Direct/Skip Mode
24 decision can be performed either by an adaptive picture level RDO decision and/or

1 by user scheme selection, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations
2 of the present invention.

3 Fig. 11 is a table listing some syntax changes that can be used in header
4 information, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
5 invention.

6 Fig. 12 is an illustrative diagram depicting different frames which signal the
7 use of a different type of prediction for their corresponding Direct (B) and Skip (P)
8 modes. P_Z , P_T , and P_M , define for example zero, temporal and spatial prediction,
9 and B_T , B_{SP} , define temporal and spatial prediction for Direct Mode, in accordance
10 with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

11 Fig. 13 is a table showing modifications to modes for 8x8 blocks in B
12 pictures/slices applicable to the H.264/AVC coding scheme, in accordance with
13 certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

14 Fig. 14 is an illustrative diagram depicting median prediction of motion
15 vectors, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
16 invention.

17 Fig. 15 is a table showing P-Picture Motion Vector prediction (e.g., Non-
18 Skip, non-8x16, non-16x8 MBs), in accordance with certain exemplary
19 implementations of the present invention.

20 Fig. 16 is an illustrative diagram depicting median prediction of motion
21 vectors, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
22 invention.

23 Fig. 17 is an illustrative diagram showing replacement of Intra subblock
24 predictors with adjacent Inter subblock predictors, in accordance with certain
25 exemplary implementations of the present invention.

1 Fig. 18 is an illustrative diagram depicting how Motion Vector Prediction of
2 current block (C) may consider the reference frame information of the predictor
3 macroblocks (Pr) and perform the proper adjustments (e.g., scaling of the
4 predictors), in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
5 invention.

6 Fig. 19 is an illustrative diagram depicting certain exemplary predictors for
7 8×8 partitioning, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the
8 present invention.

9 Fig. 20 is a table showing the relationship between previous λ and current
10 λ , in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

11 Fig. 21 is a table showing the performance difference of exemplary
12 proposed schemes and proposed RDO versus conventional software (i.e.,
13 H.264/AVC JM3.3), in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the
14 present invention.

15 Fig. 22 is a table showing a comparison of encoding performance for
16 different values of λ , in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the
17 present invention.

18 Fig. 23 is an illustrative timeline showing a situation wherein reference
19 pictures of a macroblock partition temporally precede a current picture, in
20 accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

21

22 **DETAILED DESCRIPTION**

23 While various methods and apparatuses are described and illustrated herein,
24 it should be kept in mind that the techniques of the present invention are not
25 limited to the examples described and shown in the accompanying drawings, but

1 are also clearly adaptable to other similar existing and future video coding
2 schemes, etc.

3 Before introducing such exemplary methods and apparatuses, an
4 introduction is provided in the following section for suitable exemplary operating
5 environments, for example, in the form of a computing device and other types of
6 devices/appliances.

7 Exemplary Operational Environments:

8 Turning to the drawings, wherein like reference numerals refer to like
9 elements, the invention is illustrated as being implemented in a suitable computing
10 environment. Although not required, the invention will be described in the general
11 context of computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, being
12 executed by a personal computer.

13 Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects,
14 components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement
15 particular abstract data types. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the
16 invention may be practiced with other computer system configurations, including
17 hand-held devices, multi-processor systems, microprocessor based or
18 programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe
19 computers, portable communication devices, and the like.

20 The invention may also be practiced in distributed computing environments
21 where tasks are performed by remote processing devices that are linked through a
22 communications network. In a distributed computing environment, program
23 modules may be located in both local and remote memory storage devices.

1 Fig.1 illustrates an example of a suitable computing environment 120 on
2 which the subsequently described systems, apparatuses and methods may be
3 implemented. Exemplary computing environment 120 is only one example of a
4 suitable computing environment and is not intended to suggest any limitation as to
5 the scope of use or functionality of the improved methods and systems described
6 herein. Neither should computing environment 120 be interpreted as having any
7 dependency or requirement relating to any one or combination of components
8 illustrated in computing environment 120.

9 The improved methods and systems herein are operational with numerous
10 other general purpose or special purpose computing system environments or
11 configurations. Examples of well known computing systems, environments,
12 and/or configurations that may be suitable include, but are not limited to, personal
13 computers, server computers, thin clients, thick clients, hand-held or laptop
14 devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based systems, set top boxes,
15 programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe
16 computers, distributed computing environments that include any of the above
17 systems or devices, and the like.

18 As shown in Fig. 1, computing environment 120 includes a general-purpose
19 computing device in the form of a computer 130. The components of computer
20 130 may include one or more processors or processing units 132, a system
21 memory 134, and a bus 136 that couples various system components including
22 system memory 134 to processor 132.

23 Bus 136 represents one or more of any of several types of bus structures,
24 including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, an accelerated
25 graphics port, and a processor or local bus using any of a variety of bus

1 architectures. By way of example, and not limitation, such architectures include
2 Industry Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, Micro Channel Architecture (MCA)
3 bus, Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA)
4 local bus, and Peripheral Component Interconnects (PCI) bus also known as
5 Mezzanine bus.

6 Computer 130 typically includes a variety of computer readable media.
7 Such media may be any available media that is accessible by computer 130, and it
8 includes both volatile and non-volatile media, removable and non-removable
9 media.

10 In Fig. 1, system memory 134 includes computer readable media in the
11 form of volatile memory, such as random access memory (RAM) 140, and/or non-
12 volatile memory, such as read only memory (ROM) 138. A basic input/output
13 system (BIOS) 142, containing the basic routines that help to transfer information
14 between elements within computer 130, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM
15 138. RAM 140 typically contains data and/or program modules that are
16 immediately accessible to and/or presently being operated on by processor 132.

17 Computer 130 may further include other removable/non-removable,
18 volatile/non-volatile computer storage media. For example, Fig. 1 illustrates a
19 hard disk drive 144 for reading from and writing to a non-removable, non-volatile
20 magnetic media (not shown and typically called a "hard drive"), a magnetic disk
21 drive 146 for reading from and writing to a removable, non-volatile magnetic disk
22 148 (e.g., a "floppy disk"), and an optical disk drive 150 for reading from or
23 writing to a removable, non-volatile optical disk 152 such as a CD-ROM/R/RW,
24 DVD-ROM/R/RW/+R/RAM or other optical media. Hard disk drive 144,
25

1 magnetic disk drive 146 and optical disk drive 150 are each connected to bus 136
2 by one or more interfaces 154.

3 The drives and associated computer-readable media provide nonvolatile
4 storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules, and
5 other data for computer 130. Although the exemplary environment described
6 herein employs a hard disk, a removable magnetic disk 148 and a removable
7 optical disk 152, it should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types
8 of computer readable media which can store data that is accessible by a computer,
9 such as magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks, random access
10 memories (RAMs), read only memories (ROM), and the like, may also be used in
11 the exemplary operating environment.

12 A number of program modules may be stored on the hard disk, magnetic
13 disk 148, optical disk 152, ROM 138, or RAM 140, including, e.g., an operating
14 system 158, one or more application programs 160, other program modules 162,
15 and program data 164.

16 The improved methods and systems described herein may be implemented
17 within operating system 158, one or more application programs 160, other
18 program modules 162, and/or program data 164.

19 A user may provide commands and information into computer 130 through
20 input devices such as keyboard 166 and pointing device 168 (such as a "mouse").
21 Other input devices (not shown) may include a microphone, joystick, game pad,
22 satellite dish, serial port, scanner, camera, etc. These and other input devices are
23 connected to the processing unit 132 through a user input interface 170 that is
24 coupled to bus 136, but may be connected by other interface and bus structures,
25 such as a parallel port, game port, or a universal serial bus (USB).

1 A monitor 172 or other type of display device is also connected to bus 136
2 via an interface, such as a video adapter 174. In addition to monitor 172, personal
3 computers typically include other peripheral output devices (not shown), such as
4 speakers and printers, which may be connected through output peripheral interface
5 175.

6 Computer 130 may operate in a networked environment using logical
7 connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 182.
8 Remote computer 182 may include many or all of the elements and features
9 described herein relative to computer 130.

10 Logical connections shown in Fig. 1 are a local area network (LAN) 177
11 and a general wide area network (WAN) 179. Such networking environments are
12 commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets, and the
13 Internet.

14 When used in a LAN networking environment, computer 130 is connected
15 to LAN 177 via network interface or adapter 186. When used in a WAN
16 networking environment, the computer typically includes a modem 178 or other
17 means for establishing communications over WAN 179. Modem 178, which may
18 be internal or external, may be connected to system bus 136 via the user input
19 interface 170 or other appropriate mechanism.

20 Depicted in Fig. 1, is a specific implementation of a WAN via the Internet.
21 Here, computer 130 employs modem 178 to establish communications with at
22 least one remote computer 182 via the Internet 180.

23 In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to
24 computer 130, or portions thereof, may be stored in a remote memory storage
25 device. Thus, e.g., as depicted in Fig. 1, remote application programs 189 may

1 reside on a memory device of remote computer 182. It will be appreciated that the
2 network connections shown and described are exemplary and other means of
3 establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

4 Attention is now drawn to Fig. 2, which is a block diagram depicting
5 another exemplary device 200 that is also capable of benefiting from the methods
6 and apparatuses disclosed herein. Device 200 is representative of any one or more
7 devices or appliances that are operatively configured to process video and/or any
8 related types of data in accordance with all or part of the methods and apparatuses
9 described herein and their equivalents. Thus, device 200 may take the form of a
10 computing device as in Fig. 1, or some other form, such as, for example, a wireless
11 device, a portable communication device, a personal digital assistant, a video
12 player, a television, a DVD player, a CD player, a karaoke machine, a kiosk, a
13 digital video projector, a flat panel video display mechanism, a set-top box, a
14 video game machine, etc. In this example, device 200 includes logic 202
15 configured to process video data, a video data source 204 configured to provide
16 video data to logic 202, and at least one display module 206 capable of displaying
17 at least a portion of the video data for a user to view. Logic 202 is representative
18 of hardware, firmware, software and/or any combination thereof. In certain
19 implementations, for example, logic 202 includes a compressor/decompressor
20 (codec), or the like. Video data source 204 is representative of any mechanism
21 that can provide, communicate, output, and/or at least momentarily store video
22 data suitable for processing by logic 202. Video reproduction source is
23 illustratively shown as being within and/or without device 200. Display module
24 206 is representative of any mechanism that a user might view directly or
25 indirectly and see the visual results of video data presented thereon. Additionally,

1 in certain implementations, device 200 may also include some form or capability
2 for reproducing or otherwise handling audio data associated with the video data.
3 Thus, an audio reproduction module 208 is shown.

4 With the examples of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 in mind, and others like them, the
5 next sections focus on certain exemplary methods and apparatuses that may be at
6 least partially practiced using with such environments and with such devices.

7 Conventional Direct Mode coding typically considerably improves coding
8 efficiency of B frames by exploiting the statistical dependence that may exist
9 between video frames. For example, Direct Mode can effectively represent block
10 motion without having to transmit motion information. The statistical dependence
11 that has been exploited thus far has been temporal dependence, which
12 unfortunately implies that the timestamp information for each frame has to be
13 available in both the encoder and decoder logic. Furthermore, the performance of
14 this mode tends to deteriorate as the distance between frames increases since
15 temporal statistical dependence also decreases. Such problems become even
16 greater when multiple frame referencing is enabled, for example, as is the case of
17 the H.264/AVC codec.

18 In this description improved methods and apparatuses are presented for
19 calculating direct mode parameters that can achieve significantly improved coding
20 efficiency when compared to current techniques. The improved methods and
21 apparatuses also address the timestamp independency issue, for example, as
22 described above. The improved methods and apparatuses herein build upon
23 concepts that have been successfully adopted in P frames, such as, for example, for
24 the encoding of a skip mode and exploiting the Motion Vector Predictor used for
25 the encoding of motion parameters within the calculation of the motion

1 information of the direct mode. An adaptive technique that efficiently combines
2 temporal and spatial calculations of the motion parameters has been separately
3 proposed.

4 In accordance with certain aspects of the present invention, the improved
5 methods and apparatuses represent modifications, except for the case of the
6 adaptive method, that do not require a change in the draft H.264/AVC bitstream
7 syntax as it existed prior to July of 2002, for example. As such, in certain
8 implementations the encoder and decoder region prediction logic may be the only
9 aspects in such a standards-based system that need to be altered to support the
10 improvements in compression performance that are described herein.

11 In terms of the use of these principles in a coding scheme such as the draft
12 H.264/AVC standard, for example, other possible exemplary advantages provided
13 by the improved methods and apparatuses include: timestamp independent
14 calculation of direct parameters; likely no syntax changes; no extensive increase in
15 complexity in the encoder logic and/or decoder logic; likely no requirement for
16 (time-consuming/processor intensive) division in the calculations; considerable
17 reduction of memory needed for storing motion parameters; relatively few
18 software changes (e.g., when the motion vector prediction for 16x16 mode is
19 reused); the overall compression-capability performance should be very close or
20 considerably better than the direct mode in the H.264/AVC standard (software) as
21 it existed prior to July of 2002; and enhanced robustness to unconventional
22 temporal relationships with reference pictures since temporal relationship
23 assumptions (e.g., such as assumptions that one reference picture for the coding of
24 a B picture is temporally preceding the B picture and that the other reference

1 picture for the coding of a B picture is temporally following the B picture) can be
2 avoided in the MV prediction process.

3 In accordance with certain other aspects of the present invention,
4 improvements on the current Rate Distortion Optimization (RDO) for B frames
5 are also described herein, for example, by conditionally considering the Non-
6 Residual Direct Mode during the encoding process, and/or by also modifying the
7 Lagrangian λ parameter of the RDO. Such aspects of the present invention can be
8 selectively combined with the improved techniques for Direct Mode to provide
9 considerable improvements versus the existing techniques/systems.

10 Attention is drawn now to Fig. 3, which is an illustrative diagram depicting
11 Direct Prediction in B frame coding, in accordance with certain exemplary
12 implementations of the present invention.

13 The introduction of the Direct Prediction mode for a Macroblock/block
14 within B frames, for example, is one of the main reasons why B frames can
15 achieve higher coding efficiency, in most cases, compared to P frames. According
16 to this mode as in the draft H.264/AVC standard, no motion information is
17 required to be transmitted for a Direct Coded Macroblock/block, since it can be
18 directly derived from previously transmitted information. This eliminates the high
19 overhead that motion information can require. Furthermore, the direct mode
20 exploits bidirectional prediction which allows for further increase in coding
21 efficiency. In the example shown in Fig. 3, a B frame picture is coded with use of
22 two reference pictures, a backward reference picture that is a P frame at a time $t+2$
23 that is temporally subsequent to the time $t+1$ of the B frame and a forward
24 reference picture that is a P frame at a time t that is temporally previous to the B
25 frame. It shall be appreciated by those familiar with the art that the situation

1 shown in Fig. 3 is only an example, and in particular that the terms "forward" and
2 "backward" may be used to apply to reference pictures that have any temporal
3 relationship with the picture being coded (i.e., that a "backward" or "forward"
4 reference picture may be temporally prior to or temporally subsequent to the
5 picture being coded).

6 Motion information for the Direct Mode as in the draft H.264/AVC
7 standard as it existed prior to July 2002 is derived by considering and temporally
8 scaling the motion parameters of the collocated macroblock/block of the backward
9 reference picture as illustrated in Fig. 3. Here, an assumption is made that an
10 object captured in the video picture is moving with constant speed. This
11 assumption makes it possible to predict a current position inside a B picture
12 without having to transmit any motion vectors. By way of example, the motion
13 vectors $(\overrightarrow{MV}_{fw}, \overrightarrow{MV}_{bw})$ of the Direct Mode versus the motion vector \overrightarrow{MV} of the
14 collocated block in the first backward reference frame can be calculated by:

$$15 \quad \overrightarrow{MV}_{fw} = \frac{TR_B}{TR_D} \times \overrightarrow{MV} \text{ and } \overrightarrow{MV}_{bw} = \frac{(TR_B - TR_D)}{TR_D} \times \overrightarrow{MV}, \quad (1)$$

16 where TR_B is the temporal distance between the current B frame and the
17 reference frame pointed by the forward MV of the collocated MB, and TR_D is the
18 temporal distance between the backward reference frame and the reference frame
19 pointed by the forward MV of the collocated region in the backward reference
20 frame. The same reference frame that was used by the collocated block was also
21 used by the Direct Mode block. Until recently, for example, this was also the
22 method followed within the work on the draft H.264/AVC standard, and still
23 existed within the latest H.264/AVC reference software prior to July of 2002 (see,
24 e.g., H.264/AVC Reference Software, unofficial software release Version 3.7).

As demonstrated by the example in Fig. 3 and the scaling equation (1) above, the draft H.264/AVC standard as it existed prior to July of 2002 and other like coding methods present certain drawbacks since they usually require that both the encoder and decoder have a priori knowledge of the timestamp information for each picture. In general, and especially due to the design of H.264/AVC which allows reference pictures almost anywhere in time, timestamps cannot be assumed by the order that a picture arrives at the decoder. Current designs typically do not include precise enough timing information in the syntax to solve this problem. A relatively new scheme was also under investigation for work on H.264/AVC, however, which in a sense does not require the knowledge of time. Here, the new H.264/AVC scheme includes three new parameters, namely, *direct_mv_scale_fwd*, *direct_mv_scale_bwd*, and *direct_mv_divisor* to the picture header and according to which the motion vectors of the direct mode can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\overrightarrow{MV}_{fw} &= \frac{\text{direct_mv_scale_fwd}}{\text{direct_mv_divisor}} \times \overrightarrow{MV} \\ \overrightarrow{MV}_{bw} &= \frac{\text{direct_mv_scale_bwd}}{\text{direct_mv_divisor}} \times \overrightarrow{MV}\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Reference is now made to Fig. 4, which is an illustrative diagram depicting handling of collocated Intra within existing codecs wherein motion is assumed to be zero, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

Reference is made next to Fig. 5, which is an illustrative diagram demonstrating that Direct Mode parameters need to be determined when the reference frame used to code the collocated block in the backward reference P picture is not the most recent reference picture that precedes the B picture to be coded (e.g., when the reference index is not equal to zero if the value zero for a

1 reference index indicates the most recent temporally-previous forward reference
2 picture). The new H.264/AVC scheme described above unfortunately has itself
3 several drawbacks. For example, the H.264/AVC standard allows for multiple
4 frame referencing and long-term storage of pictures as illustrated in Fig. 5. The
5 new H.264/AVC scheme above fails to consider that different reference frames
6 require different scaling factors. As such, for example, a significant reduction in
7 coding efficiency has been reported (e.g., up to 10% loss in B frame coding
8 efficiency). It is also quite uncertain what exactly the temporal relationship might
9 be between the current block and its collocated block in such a case since the
10 constant motion assumption described above is no longer followed. Additionally,
11 temporal statistical relationships are reduced even further as reference frames
12 become more temporally distant compared to one another.

13 Other issues include the inefficiency of the above new H.264/AVC scheme
14 to handle intra blocks as shown in Fig. 4, for example, and/or even intra pictures.
15 such as, for example, in the case of a scene change as shown in Fig. 6, which is an
16 illustrative diagram showing a scene change and/or the situation wherein the
17 collocated block is intra-coded. Currently, for example, a typically codec would
18 assume that motion information is zero and use the first backward and forward
19 reference pictures to perform bidirectional motion compensation. In this example,
20 it may be more likely that the two collocated blocks from the forward and
21 backward references have little, if any, relationship. Therefore, the usage of intra
22 coding in the backward reference picture (shown as picture I in Fig. 6) in this case
23 would likely cause a significantly reduction in the coding efficiency for the coding
24 of the B pictures neighboring the scene change.

25

1 In the case of a scene change, for example, as in Fig. 6, where there is
2 obviously no relationship between the two reference frames, a bidirectional
3 prediction would usually provide no benefit. This implies that the Direct Mode, as
4 previously defined, could be completely wasted. Unfortunately, current
5 implementations of the Direct Mode usually are defined to always perform
6 bidirectional prediction of a Macroblock/block.

7 Even if temporal distance parameters were available, it is not certain that
8 the usage of the Direct Mode as conventionally defined is the most appropriate
9 solution. In particular, for B frames that are temporally closer to a first
10 temporally-previous forward reference frame, the statistical dependence might be
11 much stronger with that frame than it would be for a temporally-subsequent
12 backward reference frame. One example is a sequence where scene *A* changes to
13 scene *B*, and then moves back to scene *A* (e.g., as might be the case in a news
14 bulletin). The resulting performance of B frame encoding would likely suffer
15 since Direct Mode will not be effectively exploited within the encoding process.

16 Unlike the conventional definitions of the Direct Mode where only
17 temporal prediction was used, in co-pending Patent Application No. 10/444,511,
18 which is incorporated herein by reference, several alternative improved methods
19 and apparatuses are described for the assignment of the Direct Mode motion
20 parameters wherein both temporal and/or spatial prediction are considered.

21 With these schemes and concepts in mind, in accordance with certain
22 aspects of the present invention, presented below are some exemplary adaptive
23 methods and apparatuses that combine such schemes and/or improve upon them to
24 achieve even better coding performance under various conditions.

1 By way of example, in certain methods and apparatuses described below a
2 high degree of statistical dependence of the motion parameters of adjacent
3 macroblocks is exploited in order to further improve the efficiency of the SKIP
4 Macroblock Mode for P pictures. For example, efficiency can be increased by
5 allowing the SKIP mode to also use motion parameters, taken as the Motion
6 Vector Predictor parameters of a current (16×16) Inter Mode. The same technique
7 may also apply for B frames, wherein one may also generate both backward and
8 forward motion vectors for the Direct mode using the Motion Vector Predictor of
9 the backward or forward (16×16) Inter modes, respectively. It is also noted, for
10 example, that one may even refine this prediction to other levels (e.g., 8×8, 4×4,
11 etc.), however doing so would typically complicate the design.

12 In accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
13 invention methods and apparatuses are provided to correct at least some of the
14 issues presented above, such as, for example, the case of the collocated region in
15 the backward reference picture using a different reference frame than the current
16 picture will use and/or being intra coded. In accordance with certain other
17 exemplary implementations of the present invention methods and apparatuses are
18 provided which use a spatial-prediction based Motion Vector Predictor (MVP)
19 concept to provide other benefits to the direct mode, such as, for example, the
20 removal of division processing and/or memory reduction.

21
22 Direct Mode with INTRA and non-zero reference correction:

23 Fig. 7 is an illustrative diagram depicting a scheme wherein MV_{FW} and
24 MV_{BW} are derived from spatial prediction (e.g., Median MV of forward and/or
25 backward motion vector values of surrounding Macroblocks that use the same

1 reference index) and wherein if either one is not available (e.g., no predictors) then
2 one-direction prediction (e.g., forward-only prediction or backward-only
3 prediction) may be used, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of
4 the present invention.

5 In accordance with certain exemplary methods, if a collocated block in the
6 backward reference picture uses a zero-reference frame index and if also its
7 reference picture exists in the reference buffer for the decoding process of the
8 current picture to be decoded, then a scheme, such as, demonstrated above using
9 equation (2) or the like is followed. Otherwise, a spatial-prediction based Motion
10 Vector Predictor (MVP) for both directions (forward and backward) is used
11 instead. By way of example, in the case of a collocated block being intra-coded or
12 having a different reference frame index than the reference frame index to be used
13 for the block of the current picture, or even the reference frame not being available
14 anymore, then spatial-prediction MVP is used.

15 The spatial-prediction MVP can be taken, for example, as the motion vector
16 predicted for the encoding of the current (16×16) Inter Mode (e.g., essentially with
17 the usage of MEDIAN prediction or the like). This method in certain
18 implementations is further modified by using different sized block or portions.
19 For example, the method can be refined by using smaller block sizes. However,
20 this tends to complicate the design sometimes without as much compression gain
21 improvement. For the case of a Direct sub-partition within a P8x8 structure, for
22 example, this method may still use a 16×16 MVD, even though this could be
23 corrected to consider surrounding blocks.

24 Unlike the case of Skip Mode in a P picture, in accordance with certain
25 aspects of the present invention, the motion vector predictor is not restricted to use

1 exclusively the zero reference frame index. Here, for example, an additional
2 Reference Frame Prediction process may be introduced for selecting the reference
3 frame that is to be used for either the forward or backward reference. Those
4 skilled in the art will recognize that this type of prediction may also be applied in
5 P frames as well.

6 If no reference exists for prediction (e.g., the surrounding Macroblocks are
7 using forward prediction and thus there exists no backward reference), then the
8 direct mode can be designed such that it becomes a single direction prediction
9 mode. This consideration can potentially solve several issues such as inefficiency
10 of the H.264/AVC scheme prior to July of 2002 in scene changes, when new
11 objects appear within a scene, etc. This method also solves the problem of both
12 forward and backward reference indexes pointing to temporally-future reference
13 pictures or both pointing to temporally-subsequent reference pictures, and/or even
14 when these two reference pictures are the same picture altogether.

15 For example, attention is drawn to Fig. 8, which is an illustrative diagram
16 depicting how spatial prediction may be employed to solve the problem of scene
17 changes and/or that Direct Mode need not be restricted to being Bidirectional, in
18 accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.
19 Here, as described above and illustrated, the Direct Mode need not necessarily be
20 bidirectional.

21
22 Presented below is exemplary pseudocode for such a method. In this
23 pseudo-code, it is assumed that the value -1 is used for a reference index to
24 indicate a non-valid index (such as the reference index of an intra region) and it is
25 assumed that all values of reference index are less than 15, and it is assumed that

1 the result of an "&" operation applied between the number -1 and the number 15 is
2 equal to 15 (as is customary in the C programming language). It is further assumed
3 that a function SpatialPredictor(Bsize,X,IndexVal) is defined to provide a motion
4 vector prediction for a block size Bsize for use in a prediction of type X (where X
5 is either FW, indicating forward prediction or BW, indicating backward prediction)
6 for a reference picture index value IndexVal. It is further assumed that a function
7 min(a,b,c) is defined to provide the minimum of its arguments a, b, and c. It is
8 further assumed for the purpose of this example that the index value 0 represents
9 the index of the most commonly-used or most temporally closest reference picture
10 in the forward or backward reference picture list, with increasing values of index
11 being used for less commonly-used or temporally more distant reference pictures.

```
12
13     Direct_MV_Calculation()
14     {
15         if (CollocatedRegionRefIndex!=0)
16         {
17             // Note that UpRight can be replaced by UpLeft at frame
18             boundaries
19
20             FwReferenceIndex=min(referenceBfwLeft&15,referenceBfwUp&15,refere
21             nceBfwUpRight&15);
22
23             BwReferenceIndex=min(referenceBbwLeft&15,referenceBbwUp&15,refer
24             enceBbwUpRight&15)
25             if FwReferenceIndex!=15
26             {
27                 DirectMVfw=SpatialPredictor(16x16,FW,FwReferenceIndex);
28                 referenceIndexBfw=FwReferenceIndex;
29             }
30             else
31             {
32                 DirectMVfw=0;
33                 referenceIndexBfw= -1;
34             }
35 }
```

```

1   if BwReferenceIndex!=15
2   {
3     DirectMVbw=SpatialPredictor(16x16,BW,BwReferenceIndex);
4     referenceIndexBbw=BwReferenceIndex;
5   }
6   else
7   {
8     DirectMVbw=0;
9     referenceIndexBbw= -1;
10    }
11   if (BwReferenceIndex==15 && FwReferenceIndex==15)
12     referenceIndexBbw=referenceIndexBfw=0;
13   }
14   else // Perform Prediction using temporal information
15   {
16
17   DirectMVfw=direct_mv_scale_fwd*MvP/direct_mv_scale_divisor;
18
19   DirectMVbw=direct_mv_scale_bwd*MvP/direct_mv_scale_divisor;
20     referenceIndexBfw=0;
21     referenceIndexBbw=0;
22   }
23   }

```

14 In the above algorithm, if the collocated block in the backward reference
15 picture uses the zero-index reference frame (e.g., CollocatedRegionRefIndex ==
16 0), and the temporal prediction MVs are calculated for both backward and forward
17 prediction as the equation (2); otherwise the spatial MV prediction is used instead.
18 For example, the spatial MV predictor first examines the reference indexes used
19 for the left, up-left and up-right neighboring macroblocks and finds the minimum
20 index value used both forward and backward indexing. If, for example, the
21 minimum reference index is not equal to 15 (Fw/BwReferenceIndex = 15 means
22 that all neighboring macroblocks are coded with Intra), the MV prediction is
23 calculated from spatial neighboring macroblocks. If the minimum reference index
24 is equal to 15, then the MV prediction is zero.
25

1 The above method may also be extended to interlaced frames and in
2 particular to clarify the case wherein a backward reference picture is coded in field
3 mode, and a current picture is coded in frame mode. In such a case, if the two
4 fields have different motion or reference frame, they complicate the design of
5 direct mode with the original description. Even though averaging between fields
6 could be applied, the usage of the MVP immediately solves this problem since
7 there is no dependency on the frame type of other frames. Exceptions in this case
8 might include, however, the case where both fields have the same reference frame
9 and motion information.

10 In addition, in the new H.264/AVC standard the B frame does not constrain
11 its two references to be one from a previous frame and one from a subsequent
12 frame. As shown in the illustrative timeline in Fig. 23, both reference pictures
13 (forward and backward, also known as List 0 and List 1) of a macroblock partition
14 may precede a current picture in temporal order. The methods and apparatuses
15 provided herein are also suitable for use in this case. Alternatively, both reference
16 pictures may be temporally subsequent to a current picture. Thus, usage of MVP
17 does not depend on the order of references.

18

19 Division Free, Timestamp independent Direct Mode:

20 In the exemplary method above, the usage of the spatial-prediction based
21 MVP for some specific cases solves various prediction problems in the current
22 direct mode design. There still remain, however, several issues that are addressed
23 in this section. For example, by examining equation (2) above, one observes that
24 the calculation of the direct mode parameters requires a rather computationally
25 expensive division process (for both horizontal and vertical motion vector

1 components). This division process needs to be performed for every Direct Coded
2 subblock. Even with the improvements in processing technology, division tends to
3 be a highly undesirable operation, and while shifting techniques can help it is
4 usually more desirable to remove as much use of the division calculation process
5 as possible.

6 Furthermore, the computation above also requires that the entire motion
7 field (including reference frame indexes) of the first backward reference picture be
8 stored in both the encoder and decoder. Considering, for example, that blocks in
9 H.264/AVC may be of 4×4 size, storing this amount of information may become
10 relatively expensive as well.

11 With such concerns in mind, attention is drawn to Fig. 9, which is a flow
12 diagram depicting an exemplary method 900 for Timestamp Independent
13 SpatioTemporal Prediction for Direct Mode, in accordance with certain exemplary
14 implementations of the present invention. Here, in act 902, spatial predictors
15 MV_a , MV_b , and MV_c are provided/determined along with temporal predictor MV_t .
16 In act 904, MV_{Direct} is determined, in this example, as the Median of MV_a , MV_b ,
17 and MV_c . In act 906 it is determined if MV_t is zero, and if so, then method 900
18 continues with act 908, otherwise method 900 continues with act 910. In act 908,
19 MV_{Direct} is set to zero and the method ends with this being the output. In act 910,
20 it is determined if $MV_a=0 \parallel MV_b=0 \parallel MV_c=0$, if so, then according to act 908
21 MV_{Direct} is set to zero and the method ends with this being the output, otherwise,
22 then MV_{Direct} remains as set in act 904 and the method ends with this being the
23 output.

24 Those skilled in the art will recognize that other suitable linear and/or non-
25 linear functions may be substituted for the exemplary Median function in act 904.

The usage of the spatial-prediction based MVP though does not require any such operation or memory storage. Thus, it is recognized that using the spatial-prediction based MVP for all cases, regardless of the motion information in the collocated block of the first backward reference picture may reduce if not eliminate many of these issues.

Even though one may disregard motion information from the collocated block, in the present invention it was found that higher efficiency is usually achieved by also considering whether the collocated block is stationary and/or better, close to stationary. In this case motion information for the direct mode may also be considered to be zero as well. Only the directions that exist, for example, according to the Reference Frame Prediction, need be used. This concept tends to protect stationary backgrounds, which, in particular at the edges of moving objects, might become distorted if these conditions are not introduced. Storing this information requires much less memory since for each block only 1 bit needs to be stored (to indicate zero/near-zero vs. non-zero motion for the block).

By way of further demonstration of such exemplary techniques, the following pseudocode is presented:

```

Direct_MV_Calculation()
{
    // Note that UpRight can be replaced by UpLeft at frame
boundaries

FwReferenceIndex=min(referenceBfwLeft&15,referenceBfwUp&15,refere
nceBfwUpRight&15);

BwReferenceIndex=min(referenceBbwLeft&15,referenceBbwUp&15,refer
enceBbwUpRight&15)

    if FwReferenceIndex!=15
    {

```

```

1      if (!CollocatedRegionRefIndex && (!(abs(MvPx)>>1))&&
2      !(abs(MvPy)>>1)) // Examine if stationary collocated
3      {
4          DirectMVfw=0;
5          referenceIndexBfw=0;
6      }
7      else
8      {
9          DirectMVfw=SpatialPredictor(16x16,FW,FwReferenceIndex);
10         referenceIndexBfw=FwReferenceIndex;
11     }
12    else
13    {
14        DirectMVfw=0;
15        referenceIndexBfw=-1;
16    }
17    if BwReferenceIndex!=15
18    {
19        if (!CollocatedRegionRefIndex && (!(abs(MvPx)>>1))&&
20        !(abs(MvPy)>>1)) // Examine if stationary collocated
21        {
22            DirectMVbw=0;
23            referenceIndexBbw=0;
24        }
25        else
26        {
27            DirectMVbw=0;
28            referenceIndexBbw=-1;
29        }
30        if (BwReferenceIndex==15 && FwReferenceIndex==15)
31            referenceIndexBbw=referenceIndexBfw=0;
32    }

```

In the above, the MV predictor directly examines the references of neighboring blocks and finds the minimum reference in both the forward and

1 backward reference picture lists. Then, the same process is performed for the
2 selected forward and backward reference index. If, for example, the minimum
3 reference index is equal to 15, e.g., all neighboring blocks are coded with Intra, the
4 MV prediction is zero. Otherwise, if the collocated block in the first backward
5 reference picture uses a zero-reference frame index and has zero or very close to
6 zero motion (e.g., $MvPx = 0$ or 1 or -1), the MV prediction is zero. In the rest of
7 the cases, the MV prediction is calculated from spatial information.

8 This scheme performs considerably better than the H.264/AVC scheme as it
9 existed prior to July of 2002 and others like it, especially when the distance
10 between frames (e.g., either due to frame rate and/or number of B frames used) is
11 large, and/or when there is significant motion within the sequence that does not
12 follow the constant motion rules. This makes sense considering that temporal
13 statistical dependence of the motion parameters becomes considerably smaller
14 when distance between frames increases.

15

16 Adaptive Selection of Direct Mode type at the Frame level:

17 Considering that both of the above improved exemplary methods/schemes
18 have different advantages in different types of sequences (or motion types), but
19 also have other benefits (i.e., the second scheme requiring reduced division
20 processing, little additional memory, storage/complexity), in accordance with
21 certain further aspects of the present invention, a combination of both schemes is
22 employed. In the following example of a combined scheme certain decisions are
23 made at a frame/slice level.

24 According to this exemplary combined scheme, a parameter or the like is
25 transmitted at a frame/slice level that describes which of the two schemes is to be

1 used. The selection may be made, for example, by the user, an RDO scheme (e.g.,
2 similar to what is currently being done for field/frame adaptive), and/or even by an
3 "automatic pre-analysis and pre-decision" scheme (e.g., see Figs 10a-b).

4 Figs 10a-b are illustrative diagrams showing how Direct/Skip Mode
5 decision can be performed either by an adaptive frame level RDO decision and/or
6 by user scheme selection, respectively, in accordance with certain exemplary
7 implementations of the present invention.

8 In Fig. 10a method 1000 includes act 1002 wherein the input image is
9 provided to a plurality of different Direct or Copy encoding schemes, herein
10 illustrated by acts 1004 and 1006. Act 1004 employs direct scheme encoding,
11 where the MV prediction is calculated from temporal information as the equation
12 (2). Act 1006 employs copy scheme encoding, where the MV prediction is
13 calculated from spatial information. Once the input image has been encoded per
14 acts 1004 and 1006, then in act 1008, an RDO or other like decision is made to
15 select a desired encoded image output.

16 In Fig. 10b method 1020 includes act 1002 wherein the input image is
17 provided to act 1022 wherein a scheme decision is made to selectively determine
18 which if any of a plurality of different Direct or Copy encoding schemes will be
19 employed, herein illustrated by acts 1024 and 1026. The decision in act 1022 can
20 be explicitly accomplished with user inputs, for example. In act 1022, more
21 intelligent and/or automated methods may be used for more optimally selecting
22 the best direct method for each picture. Act 1024 employs a direct scheme
23 encoding, where the MV prediction is calculated from temporal information as the
24 equation (2). Act 1026 employs a copy scheme encoding, where the MV
25 prediction is calculated from spatial information. Once the input image has been

1 encoded per selected acts 1024, 1026 and/or otherwise provided, then in act 1028,
2 another selection decision is made to select a desired output.

3 In certain implementations, one of the schemes, such as, for example,
4 scheme B (acts 1006 and 1026) is made as a mandatory scheme. This would
5 enable even the simplest devices to have B frames, whereas scheme A (acts 1004
6 and 1024) could be an optional scheme which, for example, one may desire to
7 employ for achieving higher performance.

8 Decoding logic/devices which do not support this improved scheme could
9 easily drop these frames by recognizing them through the difference in syntax. A
10 similar design could also work for P pictures where, for some applications (e.g.,
11 surveillance), one might not want to use the skip mode with Motion Vector
12 Prediction, but instead use zero motion vectors. In such a case, the decoder
13 complexity will be reduced.

14 An exemplary proposed syntax change within a slice header of the draft
15 H.264/AVC standard is shown in the table listed in Fig. 11. Here, the new
16 additional parameters are copy_mv_spatial and direct_mv_spatial for P pictures
17 and B pictures respectively. Value 0 for these parameters implies Skip on MVP
18 for P frames, and MVP Direct for B frames. If MVP Direct is used
19 (direct_mv_spatial=0), it is not necessary to transmit the additional direct
20 parameters.

21 A potential scenario in which the above design might give considerably
22 better performance than the draft H.264/AVC scheme prior to July of 2002 can be
23 seen in Fig. 12, which is an illustrative diagram depicting different frames signal
24 different type of prediction for their corresponding Direct (B) and Skip (P) modes.
25 Here, P_Z , P_T , and P_M , define for example zero, temporal and spatial prediction, and

1 B_T , B_{SP} , define temporal and spatial prediction for Direct Mode, in accordance
2 with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

3 In certain implementations, instead of transmitting the
4 direct_mv_scale_divisor parameter a second parameter direct_mv_scale_div_diff
5 may be transmitted and which is equal to:

6
$$\begin{aligned} \text{direct_mv_scale_div_diff} &= \\ \text{direct_mv_scale_divisor} &- \\ (\text{direct_mv_scale_fwd} - \text{direct_mv_scale_bwd}). \end{aligned}$$

9 Exemplary Performance Analysis

10 Simulation results were performed according to the test conditions
11 specified in G. Sullivan, "Recommended Simulation Common Conditions for
12 H.26L Coding Efficiency Experiments on Low-Resolution Progressive-Scan
13 Source Material", document VCEG-N81, Sep. 2001.

14 The performance was tested for both UVLC and CABAC entropy coding
15 methods of H.264/AVC, with 1-5 reference frames, whereas for all CIF sequences
16 we used 1/8th subpixel motion compensation. 2B frames in-between P frames
17 were used. Some additional test sequences were also selected. Since it is also
18 believed that bidirectional prediction for block sizes smaller than 8×8 may be
19 unnecessary and could be quite costly to a decoder, also included are results for
20 the MVP only case with this feature disabled. RDO was enabled in the
21 experiments. Some simulation results where the Direct Mode parameters are
22 calculated according to the text are also included, but without considering the
23 overhead of the additional parameters transmitted.

24 Currently the RDO of the system uses the following equation for
25 calculating the Lagrangian parameter λ for I and P frames:

$$\lambda_{I,P} = 0.85 \times 2^{\frac{QP}{3}} \quad (3)$$

where QP is the quantizer used for the current Macroblock. The B frame λ though is equal to $\lambda_B = 4 \times \lambda_{I,P}$.

Considering that the usage of the MVP requires a more accurate motion field to work properly, it appears from this equation that the λ parameter used for B frames might be too large and therefore inappropriate for the improved schemes presented here.

From experiments it has been found that an adaptive weighting such as:

$$f(QP) = \max\left(2, \min\left(4, \frac{QP}{6}\right)\right)$$

tends to perform much better for the QP range of interest (e.g., $QP \in \{16, 20, 24, 28\}$). In this exemplary empirical formula $QP/6$ is truncated between 2 and 4 because λ_B has no linear relationship with $\lambda_{I,P}$ when QP is too large or too small. Furthermore, also added to this scheme was a conditional consideration of the Non-Residual Direct mode since, due to the (16×16) size of the Direct Mode, some coefficients might not be completely thrown away, whereas the non residual Direct mode could improve efficiency.

It was also found that the conditional consideration, which was basically an evaluation of the significance of the Residual Direct mode's Coded Block Pattern (CBP) using $MOD(CBP, 16) < 5$, behaves much better in the RDO sense than a non conditional one. More particularly, considering that forcing a Non-RDO mode essentially implies an unknown higher quantization value, the performance of an in-loop de-blocking filter deteriorates. The error also added by this may be more significant than expected especially since there can be cases wherein no bits are

1 required for the encoding of the NR-Direct mode, thus not properly using the λ
2 parameter. In addition, it was also observed that using a larger quantizer such as
3 $QP + N$ ($N > 0$) for B frames would give considerably better performance than the
4 non conditional NR-Direct consideration, but not compared to the conditional one.

5 The experimental results show that the usage of the MVP, apart from
6 having several additional benefits and solving almost all, if not all, related
7 problems of Direct Mode, with proper RDO could achieve similar if not better
8 performance than conventional systems.

9 The performance of such improved systems is dependent on the design of
10 the motion vector and mode decision. It could be argued that the tested scheme,
11 with the current RDO, in most of the cases tested is not as good as the partial MVP
12 consideration with the same RDO enabled, but the benefits discussed above are
13 too significant to be ignored. It is also pointed out that performance tends to
14 improve further when the distance between the reference images increases.
15 Experiments on additional sequences and conditions (including 3B frames) are
16 also included in the table shown in Fig. 21.

17 As such, Fig. 21 is a table showing the performance difference of
18 exemplary proposed schemes and proposed RDO versus conventional software
19 (i.e., H.264/AVC JM3.3), in accordance with certain exemplary implementations
20 of the present invention.

21 Here, the resulting performance of the improved scheme versus previously
22 reported performance may be due at least in part to the larger λ of the JM version
23 that was used, which basically benefited the zero reference more than others.
24 Finally, not using block sizes smaller than 8×8 for bidirectional prediction does not
25

1 appear to have any negative impact in the performance of the improved
2 scheme/design.

3 Fig. 13 is a table showing modifications to modes for 8x8 blocks in B
4 pictures/slices applicable to the draft H.264/AVC scheme, in accordance with
5 certain exemplary implementations of the present invention. Experimental results
6 show that the addition of this improvement reduces the efficiency of the improved
7 scheme by only about 0.5% on the average (i.e., about 0.02dB).

8 It is also noted that for different sequences of frames the two proposed
9 schemes (e.g., A, B) demonstrate different behavior. It appears that the adaptive
10 selection tends to improve performance further since it makes possible the
11 selection of the better/best possible coding scheme for each frame/slice. Doing so
12 also enables lower capability devices to decode MVP only B frames while
13 rejecting the rest.

14 Motion Vector (MV) prediction will now be described in greater detail
15 based on the exemplary improved schemes presented herein and the experimental
16 results and/or expectations associated there with.

17

18 Motion Vector Prediction description:

19 Fig. 14 is an illustrative diagram depicting median prediction of motion
20 vectors, in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
21 invention.

22 The draft H.264/AVC scheme is obscure with regards to Motion Vector
23 Prediction for many cases. According to the text, the vector component E of the
24 indicated block in Fig. 14 is predicted normally as the median of A, B and C.
25 However, the prediction may be modified as described below:

1 A The component applying to the sample to the left of the upper
2 left sample in E

3 B The component applying to the sample just above the upper
4 left sample in E

5 C The component applying to the sample above and to the right
6 of the upper right sample in E

7 D The component applying to the sample above and to the left
8 of the upper left sample in E

9
10 A, B, C, D and E may represent motion vectors from different reference
11 pictures. The following substitutions may be made prior to median filtering:

12 Rule 1: If A and D are outside the picture, their values are assumed
13 to be zero and they are considered to have "different reference picture than
14 E".

15 Rule 2: If D, B, and C are outside the picture, the prediction is equal
16 to A (equivalent to replacing B and C with A before median filtering).

17 Rule 3: If C is outside the picture or still not available due to the
18 order of vector data (see Figure 2), C is replaced by D.

19 If any of the blocks A, B, C, D are intra coded then they count as having a
20 "different reference picture". If one and only one of the vector components used
21 in the median calculation (A, B, C) refer to the same reference picture as the
22 vector component E, this one vector component is used to predict E.

23 By examining, all possible combinations according to the above, the table
24 in Fig. 15 can be generated. Here, for example, Fig. 15 shows a table for P-Picture

1 Motion Vector prediction (e.g., Non-Skip, non-8x16, non-16x8 MBs), in
2 accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

3 In this context, “availability” is determined by whether a macroblock is
4 “outside the picture” (which is defined to include being outside the slice as well as
5 outside the picture) or “still not available due to the order of vector data”.
6 According also to the above text, if a block is available but intra, a macroblock A,
7 B, C, or D is counted as having a “different reference picture” from E, but the text
8 does not specify what motion vector value is used. Even though the software
9 assumes this is zero, this is not clearly described in the text. All these cases and
10 rules can also be illustrated by considering Fig. 16, which is an illustrative
11 diagram depicting median prediction of motion vectors, in accordance with certain
12 exemplary implementations of the present invention.

13 To solve the above issues and clarify completely motion vector prediction,
14 it is proposed that the following exemplary “rule changes” be implemented in such
15 a system according to which the main difference is in modifying Rule 1 (above)
16 and merging it with Rule 4, for example, as listed below:

17 Rule 0: Median rule is applied for Motion vector calculation:

18 $M_E = \text{Median}(M_A, M_B, M_C)$

19 Rule 1: If a predictor is outside of the picture/slice or is intra then
20 this predictor is assumed to have zero motion vectors and “different
21 reference picture than E”.

22 Rule 2: If B & C & D outside of picture $\Rightarrow M_E = M_A$, i.e., if D, B,
23 and C are outside the picture, the prediction of E is equal to A

24 Rule 3: If C not available (outside of picture, not yet coded etc) C is
25 replaced by D

1 Rule 4: If x ($x \in A, B, C$) and only x has $R_x = R_E$ then $M_E = M_x$

2 The interpretation of Rule 4 is, if only one (referred to x) of A, B, C
3 has the same MV as E in the reference frame, and then the prediction of E
4 is equal to x .

5 These exemplary modified rules are adaptable for H.264/AVC, MPEG or
6 any other like standard or coding logic process, method, and/or apparatus.

7 Fig. 17, for example, is an illustrative diagram showing replacement of
8 Intra subblock predictors with adjacent Inter subblock predictors, in accordance
9 with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention and the above
10 exemplary modified rules.

11 Some additional exemplary rules that may also be implemented and which
12 provide some further benefit in encoding include:

13 Rule W: If x_1 ($x_1 \in A, B, C$) and x_2 ($x_2 \in A, B, C, x_2 \neq x_1$) are intra and x_3
14 ($x_3 \in A, B, C, x_3 \neq x_2 \neq x_1$) is not, then only x_3 is used in the prediction.

15 The interpretation of rule W is, if two of A, B, C are coded with Intra and
16 the third is coded with Inter, and then it is used in the prediction.

17 Rule X: Replacement of intra subblock predictors (due to tree structure)
18 by adjacent non intra subblock within same Macroblock for candidates A and B
19 (applicable only to 16×16 , 16×8 , and 8×16 blocks), e.g., as in Fig. 17.

20 Rule Y: If TR information is available, motion vectors are scaled according
21 to their temporal distances versus the current reference. See, for example, Fig. 18,
22 which is an illustrative diagram depicting how Motion Vector Prediction of current
23 block (C) may consider the reference frame information of the predictor
24 macroblocks (Pr) and perform the proper adjustments (e.g., scaling of the

1 predictors), in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present
2 invention.

3 With Rule Y, if predictors A, B, and C use reference frames RefA, RefB,
4 and RefC, respectively, and the current reference frame is Ref, then the median
5 predictor is calculated as follows:

$$6 \quad \overrightarrow{MV}_{pred} = Ref \times Median \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{MV}_A}{RefA}, \frac{\overrightarrow{MV}_B}{RefB}, \frac{\overrightarrow{MV}_C}{RefC} \right) \quad (4)$$

7 It has been found that computation such as this can significantly improve
8 coding efficiency (e.g., up to at least 10% for P pictures) especially for highly
9 temporally consistent sequences such as sequence Bus or Mobile. Considering
10 Direct Mode, TR, and division, unfortunately, even though performance-wise such
11 a solution sounds attractive, it may not be suitable in some implementations.
12

13 Rule Z: Switching of predictor positions within a Macroblock (e.g., for left
14 predictor for the 16x16 Mode), use the A1 instead of A2 and B2 instead of B1 as
15 shown, for example, in Fig. 19, which is an illustrative diagram depicting certain
16 exemplary predictors for 8x8 partitioning, in accordance with certain exemplary
17 implementations of the present invention.
18

19 Performance Analysis of Lagrangian Parameter Selection:

20 Rate Distortion Optimization (RDO) with the usage of Lagrangian
21 Parameters (λ) represent one technique that can potentially increase coding
22 efficiency of video coding systems. Such methods, for example, are based on the
23 principle of jointly minimizing both Distortion D and Rate R using an equation of
24 the form:
25

$$J = D + \lambda \cdot R \quad (5)$$

The JVT reference encoding method for the draft H.264/AVC standard as it existed prior to July of 2002, for example, has adopted RDO as the encoding method of choice, even though this is not considered as normative, whereas all testing conditions of new proposals and evaluations appear to be based on such methods.

The success of the encoding system appears highly dependent on the selection of λ which is in the current software selected, for I and P frame, as:

$$\lambda_{I,P} = 0.85 \times 2^{\frac{QP}{3}}$$

where QP is the quantizer used for the current Macroblock, and

$$\lambda_B = 4 \times \lambda_{I,P}$$

is used for B frames.

In accordance with certain aspects of the present invention, it was determined that these functions can be improved upon. In the sections below, exemplary analysis into the performance mainly with regard to B frames is provided. Also, proposed is an improved interim value for λ .

Rate Distortion Optimization:

By way of example, the H.264/AVC reference software as it existed prior to July of 2002 included two different complexity modes used for the encoding of a sequence, namely, a high complexity mode and a lower complexity mode. As described above, the high complexity mode is based on a RDO scheme with the

1 usage of Lagrangian parameters which try to optimize separately several aspects
2 of the encoding. This includes motion estimation, intra block decision, subblock
3 decision of the tree macroblock structure, and the final mode decision of a
4 macroblock. This method depends highly on the values of λ which though have
5 been changed several times in the past. For example, the value of λ has recently
6 change from

7
$$\lambda_{I,P} = 5 \times \frac{QP + 5}{34 - QP} \times \exp^{\frac{QP}{10}} \quad (6)$$

8 to

9
$$\lambda_{I,P} = 0.85 \times 2^{\frac{QP}{3}} \quad (7)$$

10 or basically

11
$$\lambda_{I,P} = \frac{A}{1000} \times 2^{\frac{QP}{3}} \quad (8)$$

12 where $A=850$, mainly since the previous function could not accommodate
13 the new QP range adopted by the standard. Apparently though the decision of
14 changing the value of λ appears to most likely have been solely based on P frame
15 performance, and probably was not carefully tested.

16 In experiments conducted in the present invention discovery process it was
17 determined that, especially for the testing conditions recommended by the JVT
18 prior to July of 2002, the two equations are considerably different. Such a
19 relationship can be seen in Fig. 20, which is a table showing the relationship
20 between previous λ and current λ , in accordance with certain exemplary
21 implementations of the present invention.

1 Here, one can note that for the range (16, 20, 24, 28) the new λ is,
2 surprisingly, between 18% and 36% larger than the previous value. The increase
3 in λ can have several negative effects in the overall performance of the encoder,
4 such as in reduced reference frame quality and/or at the efficiency of motion
5 estimation/prediction.

6 It is pointed out that the PSNR does not always imply a good visual quality,
7 and that it was observed that in several cases several blocking artifacts may appear
8 even at higher bit rates. This may also be affected by the usage of the Non-
9 residual skip mode, which in a sense bypasses the specified quantizer value and
10 thus reduces the efficiency of a deblocking filter. This may be more visually
11 understood when taking in consideration that this mode could in several cases
12 require even zero bits to be encoded, thus minimizing the effect of the λ (λ
13 depends on the original QP). Considering that the distortion of all other, more
14 efficient, macroblock modes is penalized by the larger value of λ it becomes
15 apparent that quite possibly the actual coding efficiency of the current codec has
16 been reduced. Furthermore, as mentioned above, the new value was most likely
17 not tested within B frames.

18 In view of the fact that B frames rely even more on the quality of their
19 references and use an even larger Lagrangian parameter ($\lambda_B = 4 \times \lambda_{I,P}$), experimental
20 analysis was conducted to evaluate the performance of the current λ when B
21 frames are enabled. Here, for example, a comparison was done regarding the
22 performance with $A=500$ and $A=700$ (note that the later gives results very close to
23 the previous, *e*-based λ).

24 In the experimental design, the λ for B frames was calculated as:

$$\lambda_B = \max\left(2, \min\left(4, \frac{QP}{6}\right)\right) \times \lambda_{I,P}$$

since at times $\lambda_B = 4 \times \lambda_{I,P}$ was deemed to excessive. In this empirical formula QP/6 is truncated between 2 and 4 because λ_B has no linear relationship with $\lambda_{I,P}$ when QP is too large or too small.

Based on these experiments, it was observed that if the same QP is used for both B and P frames, $A=500$ outperforms considerably the current λ ($A=850$). More specifically, encoding performance can be up to about at least 2.75% bit savings (about 0.113dB higher) for the exemplary test sequences examined. The results are listed in Fig. 22, which is a table showing a comparison of encoding performance for different values of λ , in accordance with certain exemplary implementations of the present invention.

Considering the above performance it appears that an improved value of A between about 500 and about 700 may prove useful. Even though from the above results the value of 500 appears to give better performance in most cases (except container) this could affect the performance of P frames as well, thus a larger value may be a better choice. In certain implementations, for example, $A=680$ worked significantly well.

Conclusion

Although the description above uses language that is specific to structural features and/or methodological acts, it is to be understood that the invention defined in the appended claims is not limited to the specific features or acts described. Rather, the specific features and acts are disclosed as exemplary forms of implementing the invention.